



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,651	04/25/2005	Takaaki Miyamoto	075834.00537	6311
33448 ROBERT J. D	7590 07/05/2007		EXAMINER DO AN H	
LEWIS T. STI	EADMAN	DO, AN H	AN H	
	PKE & LYONS, LLC EARS TOWER		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2853	
CHICAGO, IL		•		
•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,651	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	An H. Do	2853	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	lune 2007.		
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			S
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received	
dee the attached detailed Office deticit for a no-	tor the defailed depice flot		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/532,651

Art Unit: 2853

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment and RCE filed on 12 June 2007 have been acknowledged.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 June 2007 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyamoto et al (US 6,773,091).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Application/Control Number: 10/532,651

Art Unit: 2853

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the

invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Miyamoto et al disclose in Figures 1-5H the following claimed features:

Regarding claims 1 and 3, a liquid ejecting device (21) comprising: at least one heating element (30) and at least one metal oxide field effect transistor (24, 25) to drive said heating elements (30) which is formed such that said heating element (30) is distally located from, and driven by said metal oxide field effect transistor (24, 25), so as to heat a liquid contained in a liquid chamber (45), thereby ejecting said liquid, characterized in that said metal oxide field effect transistor (24, 25) has a metal gate (column 4, line 67).

Regarding claim 4, Miyamoto et al also teach a process for production a liquid ejecting device (Figures 2A-5H) in view of the fact that the above structure is taught.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An H. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-2143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (Flexible).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,651 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AD June 28, 2007 /An H. Do/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853